Salud, Ciencia y Tecnología. 2025; 5:1282
doi: 10.56294/saludcyt20251282

REVIEW

The Diagnostic Triad: Enhancing Patient Care Through Internal Medicine, Dermatology, and Radiology Collaboration – A Narrative Review

La tríada diagnóstica: mejora de la atención al paciente mediante la colaboración entre medicina interna, dermatología y radiología: una revisión narrativa

James Edward Neira Borja[1], Akemi Rocío Plaza Alcívar[2], Katherine Nicole Estay Peralta[2], María Auxiliadora Calero Zea[1], María de Fátima Vaca Rojas[3]

[1] Universidad de Guayaquil, Guayaquil. Ecuador.
[2] Universidad Católica de Santiago de Guayaquil, Guayaquil. Ecuador
[3] Universidad de las Américas, Quito. Ecuador.

Cite as: Neira Borja JE, Plaza Alcívar AR, Estay Peralta KN, Calero Zea MA, Vaca Rojas MF. The Diagnostic Triad: Enhancing Patient Care Through Internal Medicine, Dermatology, and Radiology Collaboration – A Narrative Review. Salud, Ciencia y Tecnología. 2025; 5:1282. https://doi.org/10.56294/saludcyt20251282

Submitted: 09-05-2024 **Revised:** 18-10-2024 **Accepted:** 22-02-2025 **Published:** 23-02-2025

Editor: Prof. Dr. William Castillo-González

Corresponding author: James Edward Neira Borja

ABSTRACT

Introduction: the collaboration between internal medicine, dermatology, and radiology forms a diagnostic triad essential for the accurate detection and management of complex, multi-system diseases. Each specialty provides unique insights that, when integrated, enhance patient care through early diagnosis and comprehensive treatment planning.
Method: this narrative review synthesizes existing literature on the collaborative practices between internal medicine, dermatology, and radiology. Key themes such as diagnostic accuracy, patient outcomes, challenges, and strategies to improve collaboration were explored through a qualitative analysis of studies published in peer-reviewed journals, reports, and case studies.
Results: the review highlights several benefits of interdisciplinary collaboration, including improved diagnostic precision, early disease detection, and better management of conditions with cutaneous manifestations. Challenges include communication barriers, limited resources, and the need for specialized knowledge across fields. Strategies such as regular multidisciplinary meetings, technology integration (e.g., telemedicine, AI), and interdisciplinary education were identified as essential for overcoming these barriers and enhancing teamwork.
Conclusion: collaboration between internal medicine, dermatology, and radiology improves diagnostic outcomes and patient care. While challenges persist, strategic solutions such as technological integration and continuous professional education can foster more effective multidisciplinary partnerships. Enhanced collaboration across these specialties is crucial for advancing healthcare and ensuring optimal patient management.

Keywords: Interdisciplinary Collaboration; Internal Medicine; Dermatology; Radiology.

RESUMEN

Introducción: la colaboración entre medicina interna, dermatología y radiología constituye una triada diagnóstica esencial para la detección precisa y el manejo de enfermedades complejas que afectan múltiples sistemas. Cada especialidad aporta perspectivas únicas que, al integrarse, mejoran la atención al paciente a través de diagnósticos tempranos y planes de tratamiento integrales.

Método: esta revisión narrativa sintetiza la literatura existente sobre las prácticas colaborativas entre medicina interna, dermatología y radiología. Se exploraron temas clave como la precisión diagnóstica, los resultados en los pacientes, los desafíos y las estrategias para mejorar la colaboración a través de un análisis cualitativo de estudios publicados en revistas revisadas por pares, informes y estudios de caso.
Resultados: la revisión resalta varios beneficios de la colaboración interdisciplinaria, como una mayor precisión diagnóstica, detección temprana de enfermedades y mejor manejo de condiciones con manifestaciones cutáneas. Los desafíos incluyen barreras de comunicación, recursos limitados y la necesidad de conocimientos especializados entre disciplinas. Se identificaron estrategias como reuniones multidisciplinarias regulares, integración tecnológica (como telemedicina e inteligencia artificial) y educación interdisciplinaria como esenciales para superar estas barreras y mejorar el trabajo en equipo.
Conclusión: la colaboración entre medicina interna, dermatología y radiología mejora los resultados diagnósticos y la atención al paciente. Aunque persisten desafíos, soluciones estratégicas como la integración tecnológica y la educación continua pueden fomentar asociaciones multidisciplinarias más efectivas. La colaboración mejorada entre estas especialidades es crucial para avanzar en la atención médica y garantizar un manejo óptimo del paciente.

Palabras clave: Colaboración Interdisciplinaria; Medicina Interna; Dermatología; Radiología.

INTRODUCTION

In modern clinical practice, the complexity of patient presentations often necessitates a multidisciplinary approach to diagnosis and management. Internal medicine, dermatology, and radiology represent three interconnected fields that, when effectively integrated, can significantly enhance diagnostic accuracy, streamline patient care, and improve outcomes. This synergy, which we refer to as the diagnostic triad, is particularly valuable in cases where systemic diseases manifest with cutaneous symptoms or require advanced imaging for confirmation.[1,2,3]

Internal medicine provides a broad clinical framework for evaluating complex presentations, dermatology offers expertise in skin manifestations that may serve as diagnostic clues to underlying systemic conditions, and radiology contributes critical imaging insights to support or refine differential diagnoses.[4] Despite their individual strengths, optimal patient care often hinges on seamless collaboration among these specialties. Miscommunication or isolated decision-making can lead to diagnostic delays, unnecessary tests, or missed opportunities for early intervention.[3,5,6]

This narrative review explores the role of interdisciplinary collaboration between internal medicine, dermatology, and radiology in enhancing diagnostic precision. We highlight key conditions that benefit from this integrated approach, discuss challenges and barriers to collaboration, and propose strategies to foster more efficient interdisciplinary communication in clinical practice. By strengthening these connections, we aim to underscore the value of a triadic diagnostic model in optimizing patient care.

METHOD

This narrative review was conducted to explore the collaborative role of internal medicine, dermatology, and radiology in enhancing diagnostic accuracy and patient care. The review follows a structured approach to literature selection, synthesis, and analysis.

Literature Search Strategy

A comprehensive literature search was performed using electronic databases, including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. The search focused on articles published in the last 15 years (2010–2025) to ensure relevance while incorporating seminal works when necessary. The following keywords and Boolean operators were used:

- Internal medicine AND dermatology AND radiology
- Multidisciplinary collaboration AND diagnosis
- Cutaneous manifestations AND systemic diseases AND imaging
- Interdisciplinary approach AND patient outcomes

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Articles were included if they met the following criteria:

- Peer-reviewed studies, reviews, case reports, or guidelines related to interdisciplinary collaboration between internal medicine, dermatology, and radiology.
- Studies that examined the role of imaging in diagnosing dermatological manifestations of systemic diseases.

- Articles discussing challenges, benefits, or recommendations for improving cross-specialty collaboration.

Exclusion criteria were:

Studies not written in English.

Studies with insufficient data or lacking relevance to the review's objectives.

Data Extraction and Synthesis

Relevant information from selected articles was extracted, including study objectives, methodologies, key findings, and clinical implications. A thematic analysis was conducted to identify patterns related to the benefits, challenges, and strategies for interdisciplinary collaboration.

Limitations

As a narrative review, this study does not employ a systematic review methodology or meta-analysis. Potential limitations include selection bias, reliance on published literature, and variability in study methodologies. However, efforts were made to include diverse sources and perspectives to provide a comprehensive overview of the topic.

Data Analysis and Thematic Framework

Given the narrative nature of this review, a thematic analysis approach was used to categorize findings from the selected literature. Key themes were identified based on recurrent patterns in the data, including:

Common Systemic Conditions Requiring a Multidisciplinary Approach – Identification of diseases where dermatologic findings and imaging play a critical role in diagnosis, such as autoimmune disorders, infectious diseases, and malignancies.

Challenges and Barriers to Effective Collaboration – Exploration of logistical, educational, and communication barriers that may hinder interdisciplinary integration.

Strategies to Enhance Multidisciplinary Collaboration – Discussion of proposed frameworks, technological innovations, and institutional policies that facilitate efficient communication and teamwork among specialties.

Ethical Considerations

Since this is a literature-based narrative review, no human subjects or patient data were directly involved, eliminating the need for institutional review board approval. All cited studies and case examples were appropriately referenced to maintain academic integrity and acknowledge original contributions.

DEVELOPMENT

1. The Diagnostic Synergy of Internal Medicine, Dermatology, and Radiology

The integration of internal medicine, dermatology, and radiology forms a diagnostic triad that enhances clinical decision-making. Internal medicine provides a systemic perspective, dermatology identifies external manifestations of underlying conditions, and radiology offers imaging confirmation and insight into internal pathology. The collaborative approach improves diagnostic precision, facilitates early intervention, and prevents unnecessary procedures.[7]

1.1. The Role of Internal Medicine

Internal medicine serves as the foundation for evaluating complex clinical presentations. Internists assess systemic symptoms, establish differential diagnoses, and determine whether dermatological or radiological assessments are warranted. For example, systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) may present with cutaneous lesions such as malar rash, requiring dermatologic confirmation, while serositis or nephritis may necessitate radiological imaging.[8]

1.2. Dermatology as a Window to Systemic Disease

Dermatological signs often serve as key diagnostic clues for systemic diseases. Conditions such as vasculitis, paraneoplastic syndromes, and infectious diseases frequently have cutaneous manifestations that, when recognized early, lead to timely intervention. Dermatologists contribute expertise in identifying primary and secondary skin lesions, performing biopsies, and correlating findings with systemic pathology.[9]

1.3. Radiology's Role in Diagnostic Confirmation

Radiology provides essential imaging tools to assess internal organ involvement in systemic diseases. Advanced modalities such as MRI, CT, and ultrasound complement dermatological and internal medicine assessments by confirming or ruling out suspected diagnoses. For instance, in sarcoidosis, chest radiographs

and high-resolution CT scans can detect pulmonary involvement, while dermatologic examination may reveal lupus pernio, a hallmark cutaneous manifestation.[10,11]

2. Common Systemic Conditions Requiring a Multidisciplinary Approach

Several diseases exemplify the need for collaboration among internal medicine, dermatology, and radiology.

2.1. Autoimmune and Connective Tissue Diseases

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE): Cutaneous lesions (e.g., discoid lupus, photosensitivity) guide dermatologic evaluation, while internal organ involvement is assessed through imaging.

Systemic sclerosis: Skin thickening and Raynaud's phenomenon require dermatologic assessment, while high-resolution CT scans detect pulmonary fibrosis.[12]

Vasculitis: Cutaneous manifestations (e.g., palpable purpura) necessitate skin biopsy, while angiography and Doppler ultrasound identify vascular involvement.[12]

2.2. Infectious Diseases with Dermatologic and Radiologic Findings

Tuberculosis: Lupus vulgaris or scrofuloderma may be the first clinical sign, leading to further internal medicine assessment and imaging confirmation via chest X-ray or CT.[13]

Syphilis: Secondary syphilis presents with a widespread rash, while tertiary syphilis requires neurological imaging.[13]

Deep fungal infections (e.g., histoplasmosis): Cutaneous lesions often accompany systemic involvement, requiring imaging to assess pulmonary or disseminated disease.[4,13]

2.3. Paraneoplastic Syndromes and Malignancies

Acanthosis nigricans, Leser-Trélat sign: Cutaneous markers that may indicate internal malignancies such as gastric or lung cancer, warranting further imaging studies.

Cutaneous lymphoma (e.g., mycosis fungoides): Requires dermatopathological confirmation and radiologic staging with PET/CT.[14]

3. Challenges and Barriers to Multidisciplinary Collaboration

Despite the clear benefits of interdisciplinary collaboration, several challenges hinder seamless integration:

3.1. Communication and Referral Gaps

Effective collaboration requires timely communication among specialists. Delayed referrals, incomplete clinical histories, and lack of standardized reporting systems may lead to diagnostic delays. Implementing multidisciplinary case discussions and shared electronic health records can address these issues.[15]

3.2. Variability in Training and Awareness

Physicians in each specialty may have limited exposure to the diagnostic considerations of their counterparts. For example, an internist may not fully recognize dermatologic patterns suggestive of systemic disease, while a dermatologist may not be familiar with the indications for advanced imaging. Cross-disciplinary training and continued medical education can enhance mutual understanding.[15]

3.3. Resource and Time Constraints

Limited access to dermatologists and radiologists, especially in resource-constrained settings, may delay diagnosis and treatment. Telemedicine and artificial intelligence-driven diagnostic tools could provide solutions by facilitating remote consultations and image analysis.[16]

4. Strategies to Enhance Multidisciplinary Collaboration

To optimize patient care, several strategies can be implemented:

4.1. Multidisciplinary Case Reviews

Regular meetings involving internists, dermatologists, and radiologists can facilitate discussion of complex cases, ensuring that each specialty contributes to diagnostic decision-making.[17]

4.2. Integrated Electronic Health Records

A shared platform that allows real-time access to dermatologic images, biopsy results, and radiological reports can improve coordination and reduce redundancy in testing.[18]

5. Expanding the Scope of Interdisciplinary Collaboration in Diagnosis

While the collaboration among internal medicine, dermatology, and radiology has shown clear advantages

in improving diagnostic accuracy, expanding its scope beyond traditional clinical settings can further enhance patient outcomes. This section explores additional domains where interdisciplinary approaches can be applied, including rare disease diagnosis, pediatric and geriatric care, technological innovations, and emerging research trends.[19]

5.1. Multidisciplinary Approach in Rare and Atypical Diseases

Certain diseases present with subtle or overlapping manifestations that require expertise from multiple specialties. Conditions such as systemic autoinflammatory syndromes, rare paraneoplastic syndromes, and atypical infectious diseases may initially present with cutaneous or systemic symptoms, necessitating a combined clinical and imaging approach.[20]

Amyloidosis: Cutaneous signs such as waxy papules or purpura may be the first indication of systemic amyloidosis. Radiologic studies, including cardiac MRI and abdominal fat-pad biopsy under imaging guidance, assist in confirming the diagnosis.[13]

5.2. Advances in Diagnostic Technologies Bridging the Three Disciplines

Technological advancements are revolutionizing how internal medicine, dermatology, and radiology collaborate to diagnose diseases.[21]

Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Image Analysis

Dermoscopic AI for skin lesion evaluation: AI algorithms are improving the accuracy of melanoma detection, allowing earlier referrals for systemic and imaging evaluation.

Radiological AI for pattern recognition: Deep learning models assist in detecting interstitial lung disease (often linked to connective tissue diseases), offering an additional layer of diagnostic support to internists and dermatologists.[11]

Point-of-Care Imaging and Telemedicine

Handheld ultrasound devices: Internists can perform real-time bedside imaging to evaluate deep-seated inflammatory or neoplastic processes in patients with dermatologic signs of systemic disease.[11]

Teledermatology and teleradiology integration: Remote consultations between dermatologists, internists, and radiologists facilitate early diagnosis in rural and underserved areas.

Table 1. Key Diagnostic Intersections Among Internal Medicine, Dermatology, and Radiology

Disease/Condition	Internal Medicine Perspective	Dermatologic Manifestations	Radiologic Findings	Collaborative Diagnostic Approach
Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE)	Multisystem involvement, including renal, pulmonary, and cardiovascular complications	Malar rash, discoid lesions, photosensitivity, vasculitis	CT: Interstitial lung disease; MRI: CNS vasculitis	Dermatologic biopsy for lupus-specific findings; Radiology for systemic involvement
Sarcoidosis	Granulomatous disease affecting lungs, lymph nodes, and organs	Lupus pernio, erythema nodosum	CXR/CT: Bilateral hilar lymphadenopathy, pulmonary nodules	Skin biopsy confirms non-caseating granulomas; Chest CT for systemic disease
Dermatomyositis	Proximal muscle weakness, dysphagia, interstitial lung disease	Heliotrope rash, Gottron's papules, shawl sign	MRI: Muscle edema and inflammation; CT: Interstitial lung disease	Skin and muscle biopsy for diagnosis; Radiology for systemic assessment
Psoriatic Arthritis	Joint inflammation, enthesitis, dactylitis	Plaque psoriasis, nail pitting, onycholysis	X-ray: Joint erosion, pencil-in-cup deformity; MRI: Synovitis	Dermatology confirms psoriasis; Radiology evaluates joint damage
Langerhans Cell Histiocytosis	Systemic involvement (bone, lung, liver)	Seborrheic dermatitis-like rash, scalp lesions	CT/MRI: Lytic bone lesions, cystic lung disease	Dermatologic and systemic biopsy for confirmation; Imaging for extent of disease
Paraneoplastic Syndromes	Underlying malignancy (lung, ovarian, breast, etc.)	Acanthosis nigricans, dermatomyositis, Leser-Trélat sign	CT/PET: Primary tumor or metastases	Dermatologic clues prompt systemic workup and imaging for malignancy
Vasculitis (e.g., GPA, PAN)	Multiorgan involvement, renal, pulmonary, neurological symptoms	Palpable purpura, livedo reticularis, ulcers	Angiography: Vessel irregularities; CT: Nodules, cavitation	Dermatology guides biopsy, radiology confirms vascular changes
Infectious Diseases (TB, Syphilis, Deep Fungal Infections)	Fever, weight loss, systemic symptoms	Cutaneous tuberculosis, syphilitic chancre, disseminated fungal lesions	CXR/CT: Cavitary lesions, miliary nodules	Dermatologic samples for microbiology; Radiology for systemic involvement

Hematologic Malignancies (Leukemia, Lymphoma, Myeloma)	Cytopenias, lymphadenopathy, organomegaly	Petechiae, ecchymoses, leukemia cutis, pruritus	CT/PET: Lymphadenopathy, splenomegaly	Dermatologic biopsy supports hematologic workup; Imaging for staging
Autoimmune Blistering Diseases (Pemphigus, Bullous Pemphigoid)	Autoimmune-mediated, may be associated with malignancy or drug reactions	Blisters, erosions, Nikolsky's sign	MRI/CT: Esophageal strictures in severe cases	Dermatology for biopsy and immunofluorescence; Internal medicine for systemic evaluation

Table 2: Benefits, Challenges, and Strategies for Enhancing Interdisciplinary Collaboration Between Internal Medicine, Dermatology, and Radiology

Aspect	Internal Medicine	Dermatology	Radiology	Strategies to Enhance Collaboration
1. Benefits	- Early detection of systemic diseases	- Identification of cutaneous signs of systemic conditions	- Accurate assessment of internal organ involvement	- Multidisciplinary team meetings
	- Timely intervention for better outcomes	- Confirmation of dermatologic findings with imaging	- Improved diagnostic accuracy and staging	- Use of telemedicine for remote consultations
2. Challenges	- Overlapping responsibilities and time constraints	- Limited radiology expertise, especially in remote areas	- Delays in obtaining images or misinterpretation	- Clear communication protocols and role definitions
	- Difficulty in coordinating between specialties	- Integrating dermatologic and systemic findings	- Limited access to equipment in resource-poor settings	- Establishing shared clinical guidelines
3. Diagnostic Efficiency	- Reduced diagnostic errors with expert input	- Faster, accurate diagnosis of cutaneous diseases	- Enhanced detection of systemic involvement	- Electronic health record (EHR) integration
	- Comprehensive approach to complex cases	- Guided treatment based on imaging findings	- Early detection of complications	- Real-time consultations and joint patient rounds
4. Patient Outcomes	- Holistic care that addresses both systemic and dermatologic issues	- Improved diagnosis and treatment of cutaneous manifestations	- Early identification of internal damage	- Integrated care pathways for complex cases
	- Enhanced treatment plans due to multidisciplinary input	- Reduced diagnostic delays	- Timely recognition of metastasis or organ involvement	- Engage patients in collaborative care for better compliance
5. Technological Integration	- AI tools for diagnostic support	- Teledermatology platforms for consultations	- AI-assisted imaging techniques for internal conditions	- Collaborative development of AI platforms for combined data analysis
	- Mobile health tools for diagnostics	- Digital dermatology tools for accurate skin assessments	- Remote imaging review to support timely diagnosis	- Use of telemedicine for image sharing and consults
6. Education & Training	- Cross-specialty training for improved diagnosis	- Dermatology training in radiology and internal medicine	- Radiology education on dermatologic and systemic correlations	- Multidisciplinary workshops and case presentations
	- Focus on dermatologic symptoms in internal medicine residency	- Training in internal medicine and radiology for dermatologists	- Continuing education for radiologists on clinical correlations	- Cross-departmental rotations and training programs
7. Rare Diseases	- Increased diagnostic accuracy for multisystem conditions	- Earlier recognition of rare dermatologic manifestations	- Enhanced detection of atypical findings in rare conditions	- Disease-specific interdisciplinary protocols
	- Better management through collaborative treatment	- Ability to detect rare diseases early, reducing progression	- Early diagnosis through detailed imaging	- Specialized teams for rare disease management
8. Resource Utilization	- Optimal resource allocation through accurate diagnostics	- Fewer unnecessary dermatologic consultations or biopsies	- Improved use of imaging resources and avoiding unnecessary tests	- Joint consultations to maximize resource efficiency

https://doi.org/10.56294/saludcyt20251282

DISCUSSION

The collaboration between internal medicine, dermatology, and radiology plays a pivotal role in the timely and accurate diagnosis of a wide range of systemic diseases. Each specialty contributes unique expertise, and together, they form a comprehensive diagnostic triad that enhances patient care. The discussion of these collaborative efforts reveals not only the advantages of working in tandem but also the challenges and potential solutions for effective interdisciplinary integration.

Benefits of Interdisciplinary Collaboration

One of the most significant advantages of collaboration between these three specialties is the ability to diagnose complex, multi-system diseases more effectively. Dermatological manifestations often serve as early warning signs of systemic conditions, making dermatologists key players in detecting disorders that may initially present with skin changes. For instance, conditions like lupus or vasculitis, which have cutaneous symptoms, are frequently diagnosed earlier when dermatologists and internists work together. Additionally, radiology provides critical imaging that helps in confirming suspected diagnoses or assessing the extent of systemic involvement, such as identifying organ damage in diseases like sarcoidosis or identifying metastasis in cancer. The combination of dermatological, internal, and radiological findings leads to faster diagnosis, optimized treatment plans, and better patient outcomes.[12,17,22]

Moreover, interdisciplinary collaboration reduces diagnostic errors. In many cases, conditions may have overlapping symptoms that can confuse the diagnosis. For example, systemic autoimmune diseases can present with similar cutaneous lesions but require very different management. By pooling expertise, clinicians from each field can offer a more comprehensive differential diagnosis, preventing misdiagnosis and ensuring that patients receive the correct treatment promptly.[4]

Challenges to Effective Collaboration

Despite the clear benefits, interdisciplinary collaboration is not without its challenges. One significant issue is the time constraints and the complexity of coordinating care between specialists, particularly in busy healthcare environments. Often, each specialty works in silos, leading to fragmented care and delays in treatment. Furthermore, the lack of integrated communication systems can hinder the sharing of crucial diagnostic information, leading to inefficiencies or missed opportunities for collaboration. For example, a dermatologist may not have immediate access to the most recent radiological images, and an internist may not be fully aware of the dermatological findings unless a formal referral is made.[17]

Another challenge is the variability in training and knowledge across specialties. Dermatologists may not always be familiar with the latest developments in radiology or internal medicine, and radiologists may not have a detailed understanding of the clinical presentation of dermatologic conditions. This knowledge gap can result in misinterpretation of findings or missed connections between dermatological and systemic symptoms. Addressing this issue through interdisciplinary education and training is crucial for improving collaboration.[10]

Strategies for Enhancing Collaboration

Several strategies can be employed to improve collaboration and address these challenges. One of the most effective solutions is to establish regular multidisciplinary team meetings where specialists from all three fields can discuss complex cases, share insights, and develop comprehensive treatment plans. These meetings not only foster communication but also allow for real-time decision-making, reducing diagnostic delays and improving patient care. Additionally, integrating electronic health records (EHR) across specialties can streamline the sharing of diagnostic information, ensuring that all specialists have access to the most up-to-date clinical data.[17,19]

Another strategy is the use of technology, particularly telemedicine and artificial intelligence (AI), to facilitate remote consultations and enhance diagnostic accuracy. Teledermatology, for instance, allows dermatologists to remotely assess skin conditions, share images with radiologists or internists, and receive prompt feedback, improving the speed and accuracy of diagnoses. AI can also play a role by analyzing complex data sets, such as radiological images, to assist in identifying patterns that may indicate a systemic condition with dermatologic manifestations.[16,23]

Finally, fostering interdisciplinary education and training can significantly improve collaboration. By promoting cross-specialty educational programs, specialists will gain a better understanding of the roles and knowledge of their colleagues, enhancing their ability to collaborate effectively in clinical practice. Furthermore, providing joint clinical rotations, workshops, and case discussions can help bridge knowledge gaps and encourage a more integrated approach to patient care.[10]

The Role of Preventive Care in Collaborative Settings

Preventive care is another area where the synergy between internal medicine, dermatology, and radiology can have a profound impact. By collaborating closely, these specialties can more effectively screen patients

for diseases that may be asymptomatic or present with only subtle signs. For example, dermatologists are often the first to notice signs of conditions such as basal cell carcinoma or melanoma. When these findings are promptly shared with radiologists and internists, additional screenings and imaging can be scheduled, potentially catching metastasis or systemic involvement early, thus improving survival rates.[24]

Additionally, dermatologists can help identify early signs of diseases such as diabetes or cardiovascular conditions that may manifest as dermatologic symptoms. Internists, on the other hand, can conduct thorough examinations to look for internal issues that could exacerbate dermatological conditions. The combined effort could lead to proactive care, preventing the progression of disease and reducing healthcare costs in the long term.[24]

Challenges in Resource-Limited Settings

While the advantages of collaboration are clear in more resource-rich settings, challenges emerge in resource-limited healthcare environments. In these settings, access to imaging equipment, trained radiologists, and dermatologists can be limited. Delays in receiving diagnostic results, as well as a lack of sufficient specialists, may reduce the effectiveness of interdisciplinary collaboration. To address this, some regions have turned to innovative solutions such as mobile diagnostic units or telemedicine, which provide remote consultations and facilitate the sharing of images and reports across specialties. In such cases, bridging the gap with technological solutions becomes even more critical in ensuring that patients receive timely and accurate care despite geographic or resource barriers.[25]

Advancements in AI and Machine Learning

Looking to the future, the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning into interdisciplinary collaboration holds great promise. In radiology, AI can assist in the analysis of imaging, identifying abnormalities that might otherwise go unnoticed. This technology can also be applied in dermatology for the early detection of skin cancers through image recognition algorithms that assess moles and lesions. For internal medicine, AI-driven tools can analyze patient histories and suggest possible diagnoses based on dermatologic and radiologic findings. The cross-application of AI technologies across these specialties can revolutionize diagnostic processes, ensuring that clinicians make the most informed decisions. While AI is still in its developmental stages in many areas, its potential to enhance collaboration between dermatology, internal medicine, and radiology is vast, paving the way for more efficient, accurate, and personalized care.[10,23]

Future Directions and Research

For interdisciplinary collaboration to evolve further, research into its impact on patient outcomes and healthcare efficiency is crucial. While anecdotal evidence and case studies highlight the benefits of collaboration, more robust, large-scale studies are needed to provide empirical support for these models. Investigating the long-term outcomes of patients who receive care from multidisciplinary teams versus those who do not, and comparing costs, treatment times, and satisfaction levels, could provide valuable insights into the economic and clinical advantages of these collaborations.

Furthermore, future research could focus on refining the integration of electronic health records (EHRs) across specialties. EHR systems that allow dermatologists, radiologists, and internists to seamlessly share patient data, such as diagnostic imaging, clinical notes, and lab results, are essential for improving the timeliness and accuracy of diagnoses. The expansion of data interoperability standards will enable a more effective sharing of patient information, reducing the barriers to collaboration caused by technical limitations.

Finally, expanding collaborative care models beyond these three specialties to include additional fields such as pathology, endocrinology, and surgery could offer a broader, more comprehensive approach to patient care. As medical knowledge continues to grow and specialties become more intertwined, building multidisciplinary teams that can collaborate on a wide variety of complex conditions will become increasingly necessary.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, the collaborative approach between internal medicine, dermatology, and radiology significantly enhances the diagnostic accuracy, treatment efficiency, and overall patient care. By combining the expertise of each specialty, healthcare providers can offer a more comprehensive understanding of complex, multi-system conditions, leading to better outcomes. However, challenges such as communication barriers, time constraints, and knowledge gaps remain, which can be addressed through improved coordination, technological integration, and interdisciplinary education. As healthcare continues to evolve, fostering stronger collaboration among these specialties will be essential in ensuring timely and accurate diagnoses, optimizing patient management, and ultimately enhancing the quality of care.

BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REFERENCES

1. Nabors C, Peterson SJ, Weems R, Forman L, Mumtaz A, Goldberg R, et al. A multidisciplinary approach for

teaching systems-based practice to internal medicine residents. J Grad Med Educ [Internet]. 2011 Mar [cited 2024 Dec 21];3(1):75–80. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22379526/

2. McConachie SM, Raub JN, Yost R, Monday L, Agrawal S, Tannous P. Evaluation of a multidisciplinary approach to reduce internal medicine readmissions using a readmission prediction index. Am J Health Syst Pharm [Internet]. 2020 Jun 4 [cited 2024 Dec 21];77(12):950–7. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32382749/

3. Americo L, Song A, Myers H, Mumtaz M, Mercado J, DeBenedectis C, et al. Analysis of Literature Regarding Health Care Disparities in Radiology: Is Radiology Falling Behind? Academic Radiology [Internet]. 2021 Jul 1 [cited 2024 Dec 20];28(7):911–5. Available from: https://www.academicradiology.org/article/S1076-6332(21)00131-8/abstract

4. Jardon M, Mohammad SF, Jude CM, Pahwa A. Imaging of Emerging Infectious Diseases. Curr Radiol Rep [Internet]. 2019 Jul 11 [cited 2024 Dec 22];7(9):25. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s40134-019-0338-4

5. Iyasere CA, Wing J, Martel JN, Healy MG, Park YS, Finn KM. Effect of Increased Interprofessional Familiarity on Team Performance, Communication, and Psychological Safety on Inpatient Medical Teams: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Internal Medicine [Internet]. 2022 Nov 1 [cited 2024 Dec 21];182(11):1190–8. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2022.4373

6. García-Malinis AJ, Pérez-Gilaberte JB, Gracia-Cazaña T, González García MP, Planas Linares D, Gilaberte Y. The Impact of Shared Assistance between Dermatology and Internal Medicine on Patients with Psoriasis. J Clin Med [Internet]. 2024 Apr 22 [cited 2024 Dec 23];13(8):2441. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/38673714/

7. Jartarkar SR, Patil A, Wollina U, Gold MH, Stege H, Grabbe S, et al. New diagnostic and imaging technologies in dermatology. Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2024 Dec 21];20(12):3782–7. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/jocd.14499

8. Vandergrift JL, Gray BM, Reschovsky JD, Holmboe ES, Lipner RD. The role of internal medicine subspecialists in patient care management. Am J Manag Care [Internet]. 2016 Nov 1 [cited 2024 Dec 21];22(11):e375–81. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27849351/

9. Singal A, Arora R. Nail as a window of systemic diseases. Indian Dermatology Online Journal [Internet]. 2015 Apr [cited 2024 Dec 21];6(2):67. Available from: https://journals.lww.com/idoj/fulltext/2015/06020/nail_as_a_window_of_systemic_diseases.1.aspx

10. Pirrera A, Giansanti D. Human–Machine Collaboration in Diagnostics: Exploring the Synergy in Clinical Imaging with Artificial Intelligence. Diagnostics [Internet]. 2023 Jan [cited 2024 Dec 12];13(13):2162. Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/2075-4418/13/13/2162

11. Larson DB, Langlotz CP. The Role of Radiology in the Diagnostic Process: Information, Communication, and Teamwork. American Journal of Roentgenology [Internet]. 2017 Nov [cited 2024 Dec 21];209(5):992–1000. Available from: https://www.ajronline.org/doi/10.2214/AJR.17.18381

12. Schepman S, Hansen J, Putter ID de, Batenburg RS, Bakker DH de. The common characteristics and outcomes of multidisciplinary collaboration in primary health care: a systematic literature review. International Journal of Integrated Care [Internet]. 2015 Jun 24 [cited 2024 Dec 20];15(2). Available from: https://ijic.org/articles/10.5334/ijic.1359

13. McMahon DE, Schuetz AN, Kovarik CL. Emerging infectious diseases of the skin: a review of clinical and histologic findings. Human Pathology [Internet]. 2023 Oct 1 [cited 2024 Dec 19];140:196–213. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0046817723001478

14. Padda SK, Yao X, Antonicelli A, Riess JW, Shang Y, Shrager JB, et al. Paraneoplastic Syndromes and Thymic Malignancies: An Examination of the International Thymic Malignancy Interest Group Retrospective Database. Journal of Thoracic Oncology [Internet]. 2018 Mar 1 [cited 2024 Dec 12];13(3):436–46. Available from: https://www.jto.org/article/S1556-0864(17)33064-2/fulltext

15. Shin H, Seo JY, Choi ES, Gagne JCD. Facilitators and Barriers to Multidisciplinary Teamwork in Adolescent and Young Adult Oncology Care: A Descriptive Qualitative Study. JMDH [Internet]. 2025 Feb 18 [cited 2024 Dec 10];18:917–32. Available from: https://www.dovepress.com/facilitators-and-barriers-to-multidisciplinary-teamwork-in-adolescent--peer-reviewed-fulltext-article-JMDH

16. Sharma D, Cotton M. Overcoming the barriers between resource constraints and healthcare quality. Trop Doct [Internet]. 2023 Jul 1 [cited 2024 Dec 11];53(3):341–3. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1177/00494755231183784

17. LaFrance DL, Weiss MJ, Kazemi E, Gerenser J, Dobres J. Multidisciplinary Teaming: Enhancing Collaboration through Increased Understanding. Behav Analysis Practice [Internet]. 2019 Sep 1 [cited 2024 Dec 15];12(3):709–26. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s40617-019-00331-y

18. Kwan B, Bell JF, Longhurst CA, Goldhaber NH, Clay B. Implementation of an electronic health record-integrated instant messaging system in an academic health system. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association [Internet]. 2024 Apr 1 [cited 2024 Dec 10];31(4):997–1000. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocad253

19. Dahlke S, Hunter KF, Kalogirou MR, Negrin K, Fox M, Wagg A. Perspectives about Interprofessional Collaboration and Patient-Centred Care. Canadian Journal on Aging / La Revue canadienne du vieillissement [Internet]. 2020 Sep [cited 2024 Dec 21];39(3):443–55. Available from: https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/canadian-journal-on-aging-la-revue-canadienne-du-vieillissement/article/perspectives-about-interprofessional-collaboration-and-patientcentred-care/E7F90B2F9FD39101379DE7A6CA538DA1

20. Schuermans N, Hemelsoet D, Terryn W, Steyaert S, Van Coster R, Coucke PJ, et al. Shortcutting the diagnostic odyssey: the multidisciplinary Program for Undiagnosed Rare Diseases in adults (UD-PrOZA). Orphanet Journal of Rare Diseases [Internet]. 2022 May 23 [cited 2024 Dec 21];17(1):210. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s13023-022-02365-y

21. Raff AB, Seiler TG, Apiou-Sbirlea G. Bridging medicine and biomedical technology: enhance translation of fundamental research to patient care. Biomed Opt Express, BOE [Internet]. 2017 Dec 1 [cited 2024 Dec 21];8(12):5368–73. Available from: https://opg.optica.org/boe/abstract.cfm?uri=boe-8-12-5368

22. Ponte PR, Gross AH, Milliman-Richard YJ, Lacey K. Interdisciplinary teamwork and collaboration: an essential element of a positive practice environment. Annu Rev Nurs Res [Internet]. 2010 [cited 2024 Aug 7];28:159–89. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21639027/

23. Rajpurkar P, Chen E, Banerjee O, Topol EJ. AI in health and medicine. Nat Med [Internet]. 2022 Jan [cited 2024 Dec 9];28(1):31–8. Available from: https://www.nature.com/articles/s41591-021-01614-0

24. Morley L, Cashell A. Collaboration in Health Care. Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Sciences [Internet]. 2017 Jun 1 [cited 2024 Dec 12];48(2):207–16. Available from: https://www.jmirs.org/article/S1939-8654(16)30117-5/fulltext

25. Diaz JV, Riviello ED, Papali A, Adhikari NKJ, Ferreira JC. Global Critical Care: Moving Forward in Resource-Limited Settings. Annals of Global Health [Internet]. 2019 Jan 22 [cited 2024 Dec 21];85(1). Available from: https://annalsofglobalhealth.org/articles/10.5334/aogh.2413

FINANCING

The authors did not receive financing for the development of this research.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

AUTHORSHIP CONTRIBUTION

Conceptualization: James Edward Neira Borja, Akemi Rocío Plaza Alcívar, Katherine Nicole Estay Peralta María Auxiliadora Calero Zea, María de Fátima Vaca Rojas.

Data curation: James Edward Neira Borja, Akemi Rocío Plaza Alcívar, Katherine Nicole Estay Peralta María Auxiliadora Calero Zea, María de Fátima Vaca Rojas.

Formal analysis: James Edward Neira Borja, Akemi Rocío Plaza Alcívar, Katherine Nicole Estay Peralta María Auxiliadora Calero Zea, María de Fátima Vaca Rojas.

Research: James Edward Neira Borja, Akemi Rocío Plaza Alcívar, Katherine Nicole Estay Peralta María Auxiliadora Calero Zea, María de Fátima Vaca Rojas.

Methodology: James Edward Neira Borja, Akemi Rocío Plaza Alcívar, Katherine Nicole Estay Peralta María Auxiliadora Calero Zea, María de Fátima Vaca Rojas.

Project management: James Edward Neira Borja, Akemi Rocío Plaza Alcívar, Katherine Nicole Estay Peralta María Auxiliadora Calero Zea, María de Fátima Vaca Rojas.

Resources: James Edward Neira Borja, Akemi Rocío Plaza Alcívar, Katherine Nicole Estay Peralta María Auxiliadora Calero Zea, María de Fátima Vaca Rojas.

Software: James Edward Neira Borja, Akemi Rocío Plaza Alcívar, Katherine Nicole Estay Peralta María Auxiliadora Calero Zea, María de Fátima Vaca Rojas.

Supervision: James Edward Neira Borja, Akemi Rocío Plaza Alcívar, Katherine Nicole Estay Peralta María Auxiliadora Calero Zea, María de Fátima Vaca Rojas.

Validation: James Edward Neira Borja, Akemi Rocío Plaza Alcívar, Katherine Nicole Estay Peralta María Auxiliadora Calero Zea, María de Fátima Vaca Rojas.

Display: James Edward Neira Borja, Akemi Rocío Plaza Alcívar, Katherine Nicole Estay Peralta María Auxiliadora Calero Zea, María de Fátima Vaca Rojas.

Drafting - original draft: James Edward Neira Borja, Akemi Rocío Plaza Alcívar, Katherine Nicole Estay Peralta María Auxiliadora Calero Zea, María de Fátima Vaca Rojas.

Writing - proofreading and editing: James Edward Neira Borja, Akemi Rocío Plaza Alcívar, Katherine Nicole Estay Peralta María Auxiliadora Calero Zea, María de Fátima Vaca Rojas.

https://doi.org/10.56294/saludcyt20251282